

## **A further look on genetic basis of carcass fat deposition in pigs of 'Casertana' ancient autochthonous genetic type**

**Matassino D., Braglia S., Comella M., Grasso M., Occidente M., Pane F., Annaratone C., Davoli R.**

*in*

De Pedro E.J. (ed.), Cabezas A.B. (ed.).  
7th International Symposium on the Mediterranean Pig

**Zaragoza : CIHEAM**

**Options Méditerranéennes : Série A. Séminaires Méditerranéens; n. 101**

**2012**

pages 361-365

Article available on line / Article disponible en ligne à l'adresse :

<http://om.ciheam.org/article.php?IDPDF=00006708>

To cite this article / Pour citer cet article

Matassino D., Braglia S., Comella M., Grasso M., Occidente M., Pane F., Annaratone C., Davoli R. **A further look on genetic basis of carcass fat deposition in pigs of 'Casertana' ancient autochthonous genetic type.** In : De Pedro E.J. (ed.), Cabezas A.B. (ed.). *7th International Symposium on the Mediterranean Pig*. Zaragoza : CIHEAM, 2012. p. 361-365 (Options Méditerranéennes : Série A. Séminaires Méditerranéens; n. 101)



<http://www.ciheam.org/>  
<http://om.ciheam.org/>

# A further look on genetic basis of carcass fat deposition in pigs of 'Casertana' ancient autochthonous genetic type

D. Matassino\*, S. Braglia\*\*, M. Comella\*\*, M. Grasso\*, M. Occidente\*, F. Pane\*,  
C. Annaratone\*\* and R. Davoli\*\*

\*Consortium for Experimentation, Dissemination and Application of Innovative Biotechniques (ConSDABI),  
Italian Sub National Focal Point FAO (Sub NFP.I-FAO) (Mediterranean biodiversity),  
Centre of Omic Science for Nutritional Quality and Excellence (Italy)

\*\*University of Bologna, Faculty of Agriculture, DIPROVAL, Allevamenti zootecnici (Italy)

**Abstract.** 'Casertana' pig ancient autochthonous genetic type (AAGT) has been considered in the years within wide programme of safeguard and rescue promoted by ConSDABI. One of the most relevant aims of this program is to search for gene variation related to quantity and technological, nutritive and organoleptic quality of fat in order to satisfy consumer's request. In the present work, 100 AAGT Casertana pigs were genotyped for 8 SNP at *loci* involved in the regulation of adipose tissue deposition [DECR1, FASN, MC4R, SCD and H-FABP haplotype (H-FABP1, H-FABP2, H-FABP3)] in order to carry out an association study, with some fatness characteristics of carcass. Statistical analysis was performed using the GLM procedure of the SAS package. The results showed association between HFABP haplotype and the majority of considered phenotypic traits. In detail, the effect of this haplotype was significant on the total weight of separable fat in carcass ( $P=0.002$ ), total weight of adipose cuts ( $P=0.006$ ) and back fat thickness measured at level of 1st thoracic vertebra (Th1) ( $P=0.056$ ), 15th thoracic (Th15) ( $P=0.020$ ) and between the 6th lumbar vertebra and sacrum (L6 – S) ( $P=0.007$ ). FASN showed an effect on belly and jowl fat ( $P\leq 0.05$ ). For DECR1 CC genotype influenced the weight of belly cut. Further investigations are ongoing for an operative utilisation of the H-FABP haplotype, FASN and DECR1 genes as molecular markers (candidates) in proper molecular assisted selection (MAS) plans.

**Keywords.** Casertana 'AAGT' – Fat traits – SNPs – Haplotype.

## *Une perspective sur les bases génétiques du dépôt de graisse dans la carcasse des porcs du type génétique autochtone ancien 'Casertana'*

**Résumé.** Le porc 'Casertana', type génétique autochtone ancien (TGAA) du "bioterritorio" de la Campanie, est depuis des années l'objet d'un programme de protection et de valorisation mis en œuvre par le ConSDABI SUB NFP.I - FAO. Parmi les objectifs de ce programme il y a celui d'identifier des variantes des gènes associés à la quantité et à la qualité technologique, nutritionnelle et organoleptique des matières grasses pour répondre aux besoins du consommateur. Dans cet article, nous avons étudié 8 SNP dans les loci candidats au déterminisme quantitatif du tissu adipeux [DECR1, FASN, MC4R, SCD et haplotype H-FABP (H-FABP1, H-FABP2, H-FABP3)]. 100 porcs TGAA 'Casertana' pour lesquels certains relevés étaient disponibles concernant des sections, ont été génotypés afin d'effectuer une étude d'association avec quelques caractéristiques de l'adiposité. L'analyse statistique a été réalisée en utilisant la procédure GML du SAS. Les résultats suggèrent une association entre l'haplotype H-FABP et la plupart des caractères en question. En particulier, l'effet de l'haplotype H-FABP est significatif sur le poids total des morceaux de gras ( $P=0.006$ ), sur les gras séparables ( $P=0.002$ ) et sur l'épaisseur de gras mesurée à la 1ère vertèbre thoracique (Th1) ( $P=0.056$ ), à la 15ème vertèbre thoracique (Th15) ( $P=0.020$ ) et entre la 6ème vertèbre lombaire et le sacrum (L6 – S) ( $P=0.007$ ). En outre, FASN a des associations avec le lard de la poitrine et le lard de la bajoue ( $P\leq 0.05$ ). D'autres recherches sont en cours pour une utilisation opérationnelle des haplotypes H-FABP et des gènes FASN et DECR1 comme marqueurs prometteurs ('candidats') dans les plans de sélection assistée par marqueurs moléculaires (MAS).

**Mots-clés.** TGAA 'Casertana' – Caractéristique de l'adiposité – SNP – Haplotype.

## I – Introduction

'Casertana' (CT) ancient autochthonous genetic type (AAGT) is a black pig of ancient origins. It is object of considerable interest for its many peculiarities, like: good aptitude to grazing with ability to utilize poor feed; appreciable organoleptic and healthy quality of meat which is particularly suitable to obtain valuable local products (Matassino *et al.*, 1968; Colatruglio *et al.*, 1994; Girolami *et al.*, 1996; Matassino *et al.*, 2006; Barone *et al.*, 2008). In the last years, the awareness of the nutritional issue has increased: healthy quality is the element that more concerns the consumer. In this context, the study of quanti-qualitative characteristics of the adipose tissue as well as of the factors influencing them in farm animals, especially of pig, has become increasingly important. Furthermore ongoing research at ConSDABI SUB NFP.I - FAO on pig AAGTs is corroborating the hypothesis to consider pig as a valid model to study human obesity. These pigs, characterized by a thicker back fat than cosmopolite breeds, can constitute an interesting resource to contribute to the knowledge of genetic factors involved in obesity; moreover, AAGTs can constitute a genetic reserve suitable to rescue organoleptic properties penalized in cosmopolite breeds. Different approaches are used to identify molecular markers linked to traits associated to adipose tissue deposition in pig: (i) candidate gene; (ii) QTL identification; (iii) combination of (i) and (ii); (iv) transcriptome analysis (Davoli *et al.*, 2009). Various genes involved in quali-quantitative characteristics of muscle and adipose tissue have been identified. The aim of the present contribute was to evaluate, in CT pig, possible associations between 8 SNPs at *loci* involved in regulation of adipose tissue deposition [DEC1, FASN, MC4R, SCD and H-FABP haplotype (H-FABP1, H-FABP2, H-FABP3)] and some fatness characteristics of carcass; these *loci* are known in literature for their significant association with some fatness traits (Gerbens *et al.*, 1997; Kim *et al.*, 2000; Wimmers *et al.*, 2002; Munoz *et al.*, 2003; Amills *et al.*, 2005; Matassino *et al.*, 2007 and 2009).

## II – Materials and methods

DNA was extracted from blood and muscle samples of 100 subjects of CT AAGT, reared at experimental Farm of ConSDABI SUB NFP.I - FAO. Genotyping for SNPs considered at DEC1, FASN, H-FABP, MC4R and SCD *loci* was carried out by PCR-RFLP method according to literature protocols (Table 1).

**Table 1. SNPs investigated in 'candidate' *loci* object of study**

| SNP                                                                                 | LOCUS   |                                         |                                                                                                      |            | BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCE      |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|
|                                                                                     | ACRONYM | DENOMINATION                            | FUNCTION                                                                                             | CHROMOSOME |                              |
| C(163)G                                                                             | DEC1    | 2,4 - Dienoyl reductase 1 mitochondrial | Encodes for an enzyme involved in unsaturated fatty acid beta-oxidation                              | 4          | Davoli <i>et al.</i> , 2002  |
| T265C                                                                               | FASN    | Fatty acid synthase                     | Enzyme key in the conversion of acetyl-CoA and malonyl-coA into long-chain saturated fatty acids     | 12         | Munoz <i>et al.</i> , 2003   |
| C(1811)G<br>H-FABP1 (D/d)<br>T(1324)C<br>H-FABP2 (H/h)<br>T(1970)C<br>H-FABP3 (A/a) | H-FABP  | Heart fatty acid - binding protein      | Trafficking of fatty acids at level of cardiac and striate muscle as well as lactating mammary gland | 6          | Gerbens <i>et al.</i> , 1997 |
| G(298)A                                                                             | MC4R    | Melanocortin 4 receptor                 | Control of uptake capacity and growth rate                                                           | 1          | Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2000     |
| T(233)C SCD1<br>C(641)T SCD3                                                        | SCD     | Stearoyl CoA Desaturase                 | Encodes for an enzyme key in the monounsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis                             | 14         | Ren <i>et al.</i> , 2004     |

For each SNP the allelic and genotypic frequencies were estimated. Concerning H-FABP, 12 out of 27 possible haplotypes were individuated, only 6 of which (HHddAa, HHddAA, HHDdAa, HHDdAA, HHddaa, HhDdAa) were used for statistical elaboration because of the insufficient

numerousness of the others; for each fatness indicator 15 possible pair-wise comparisons were carried out. In the present contribute the following parameters at carcass dissection were considered as adiposity indicators: (i) total weight of adipose cuts (with and without 'jowl'); (ii) total weight of separable fat; (iii) thickness (mm) of back fat measured at level of: 1<sup>st</sup> thoracic vertebra (Th1), 15<sup>th</sup> thoracic vertebra (Th15) and between the 6<sup>th</sup> lumbar vertebra and sacrum (L6 - S).

Statistical elaboration, concerning the association between genotypic and phenotypic data, was performed with the following factorial model of covariance analysis with fixed factors utilizing SAS 9.1v software:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3 + \alpha_i + \text{sex}_j + e_{ijk}$$

where:

$\mu$  = constant common to all observations (overall mean);

$x_1$  = weight of refrigerated carcass after 72 hours of refrigeration (covariate);

$x_2$  = date of slaughtering (covariate);

$x_3$  = age of the pig at slaughtering (covariate);

$\alpha_i$  = fixed effect common to all observation relative to i<sup>th</sup> genotype (i = 1,2,3)/haplotype (i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6);

$\text{sex}_j$  = fixed effect common to all observations related to j<sup>th</sup> sex (j = 1,2);

$e_{ijk}$  = random error.

### III – Results and discussion

From statistical elaboration it emerged a significant effect of some *loci* on carcass fatness. *H-FABP*. The comparison among the considered haplotypes highlighted that the pig with HHDdAA or HHDdAa haplotype, when compared with a subject with HHddAA or HHddAa or HHddaa haplotype, gives a higher adiposity estimated through any detected parameters (Table 2). In particular, the difference of: (i) back fat thickness can vary from about 9 (HHDdAa vs HHddAa; P=0.020) to about 17 mm (HHDdAA vs HHddAA; P=0.002); (ii) total fat cuts (without belly) can range from 6.5 (HHDdAA vs HHddAa; P=0.075) to about 16 kg (HHDdAa vs HHddaa; P=0.002); (iii) of separable cuts can vary from about 6.0 (HHDdAA vs HHddAa; P=0.073) to 13.5 kg (HHDdAa vs HHddaa; P=0.0001).

**Table 2. Some parameters of fatness: significant comparisons between 'H-FABP' haplotypes**

| Haplotype     |                | Parameter             |         |                   |         |                   |         |                |       |                      |       |                           |         |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------------|-------|----------------------|-------|---------------------------|---------|
|               |                | Backfat thickness, mm |         |                   |         |                   |         | 'Fat cuts', kg |       |                      |       | 'Total separable fat', kg |         |
| Reference (I) | Comparison (J) | 'Th1'                 |         | 'Th15'            |         | 'L6-S'            |         | 'Total'        |       | 'Total without Jowl' |       |                           |         |
|               |                | $\Delta$<br>(I-J)     | P-value | $\Delta$<br>(I-J) | P-value | $\Delta$<br>(I-J) | P-value |                |       |                      |       | $\Delta$<br>(I-J)         | P-value |
| HHDdAA        | HHddAA         | 12.443                | 0.031   | 15.113            | 0.004   | 16.949            | 0.002   | 8.047          | 0.028 | 8.197                | 0.042 | 10.542                    | 0.005   |
|               | HHddAa         | 10.958                | 0.035   | 11.934            | 0.011   | 13.080            | 0.006   | 6.565          | 0.048 | 6.493                | 0.075 | 5.987                     | 0.073   |
|               | HHddaa         | 15.412                | 0.043   | 15.277            | 0.027   | 16.563            | 0.017   | 5.154          | 0.287 | 13.485               | 0.013 | 6.677                     | 0.173   |
| HHDdAa        | HHddAA         | 11.321                | 0.031   | 11.640            | 0.015   | 13.332            | 0.006   | 9.784          | 0.004 | 10.538               | 0.004 | 13.490                    | 0.0001  |
|               | HHddAa         | 9.836                 | 0.022   | 8.461             | 0.029   | 9.463             | 0.016   | 8.302          | 0.003 | 8.835                | 0.004 | 8.935                     | 0.002   |
|               | HHddaa         | 14.290                | 0.043   | 11.804            | 0.063   | 12.946            | 0.043   | 6.890          | 0.126 | 15.827               | 0.002 | 9.625                     | 0.036   |

The biological and operative importance to consider the effect of a haplotype on a qualitative trait has been widely debated (Matassino *et al.*, 1993; Zullo *et al.*, 1994). Indeed, according to these authors, the global genotype has a semantic value for marker assisted selection (MAS). Within H-FABP, it was believed convenient to individuate a possible effect of

genotype at single *locus* on adiposity using single nucleotide polymorphism. The effect was significant only for D/d polymorphism: the subject with dd genotype gave a significant lower adiposity in comparison with heterozygote for the majority of the parameters considered, with a decrease equal to: (i) about 10 mm for back fat thickness ( $P=0.003$  at Th1 region;  $P=0.001$  at Th15 and L6-S regions); (ii) about 8 kg for total fat cuts and total separable fat ( $P<0.001$ ).

**FASN.** The effect was significant on the weight of belly fat cut ( $P=0.051$ ) and on that of separable fat from jowl ( $P=0.048$ ). It is interesting to observe that the subject with TT genotype, in comparison with that with CC or CT genotype, gives a significant ( $P\leq 0.05$ ): (i) lower weight of belly cut [the difference is equal to 0.895 kg and 1.072 kg for CC vs TT and CT vs TT comparisons, respectively]; (ii) higher weight of separable fat from jowl (difference equal to - 0.439 kg and - 0.454 for CC vs TT and CT vs TT comparisons, respectively).

**DECR1.** The effect of this gene was near to critical limit of significance ( $P=0.072$ ) on jowl; from the comparison between genotypes it emerges that the pig with CC genotype gives a lighter belly than the subject with CG or GG genotype; the comparison was significant ( $P=0.022$ ) for CC vs CG with a difference of -0.902 kg and tendentially significant ( $P=0.135$ ) for CC vs GG with a difference of - 0.608 kg.

**SCD.** This gene tended to influence the weight of separable fat from coppa adipose cut ( $P=0.148$ ), back fat ( $P=0.144$ ) and total separable fat ( $P=0.132$ ).

**MC4R.** A tendency to significance ( $P=0.179$ ) was evidenced for separable fat from jowl.

## IV –Conclusions

In the limits of the observation field, the results suggest that H-FABP haplotype significantly affects the parameters used for the estimation of carcass adiposity, determining differences among subjects with different haplotypes. The pig with HHddAA would be the less physiological obese for the total of adipose cuts without jowl and of separable fat. FASN locus would cause a differential fat deposition probably associated to the effect of TT genotype showing a variable lipogenetic aptitude in different anatomical regions of the body. For DECR1 locus, CC genotype would influence the weight of belly fat. Further investigations are ongoing for an operative utilisation of the H-FABP haplotype and FASN and DECR1 genes as molecular markers (candidates) in proper MAS plans.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support received from the Mipaaf. The authors want to thank dressed pork factory 'Di Maria' Cese Alte – Circello (BN).

## References

- Amills M. Vidal O., Varona L., Tomàs A., Gil M., Sánchez A. and Noguera J.L., 2005. Polymorphism of the pig 2,4-dienoyl CoA reductase 1 gene (DECR1) and its association with carcass and meat quality traits. In: *J Anim Sci.*, 83(3): 232-240.
- Barone C.M.A., Castellano N., Gigante G., Liguori, L., Varricchio G. and Matassino D., 2008. Sensory characteristics of dry cured ham and 'fiocco' obtained from 'Casertana' pig ancient autochthonous genetic type (AAGT). In: *Proc. Of 2nd Seminar of the Scientific –professional Network on Mediterranean livestock Farming "Mediterranean Livestock production: uncertainties and opportunities*, Saragozza (Spagna), 18-20 maggio 2006, *Options méditerranéennes*, 78, Serie A (Séminaires Méditerranéens), p. 229-232.
- Colatruglio P., Zullo A., Barone C.M.A., Girolami A., and Matassino D., 1994. Meat quality of pigs of the genetic type 'Casertana' and its cross-breeds. II. Colour. In: *Prod. Anim.*, 7 (III Serie): 85- 110.
- Davoli R., Fontanesi L. and Comella M., 2009. Biodiversità: suino-obesità. In: *Atti Convegno nazionale congiunto 82. Convegno SIBS 4. Convegno ARNA*, Cagliari 8-10 ottobre, 2009, p. 34-37.

- Davoli R., Fontanesi L., Braglia S. and Russo V., 2002.** A missense mutation in the porcine mitochondrial 2,4-dienoyl CoA reductase 1 (DEC1) gene and linkage mapping of this locus to chromosome 4. In: *Anim. Genet.*, 33: 73-75.
- Kim K.S., Larsen N., Short T., Plastow G. and Rothschild M.F., 2000.** A missense variant of the porcine melanocortin-4 receptor (MC4R) gene is associated with fatness, growth, and feed intake traits. In: *Mamm. Genome*, 11: 131-135.
- Gerbens F., Rettenberger G., Lenstra J.A., Veerkamp J.H., Meuwissen T.H.E. and Te Pas M.F.W., 1997.** Characterization, chromosomal localization, and genetic variation of the porcine heart fatty acid-binding protein gene. In: *Mamm. Genome*, 8: 328-332.
- Girolami A., Colatruglio P., D'agostino N., Grasso F., Napolitano, F., Zullo A. and Matassino, D., 1996.** Confronto fra suini 'Casertana' e i suoi derivati. III. Alcuni rilievi allo spolpo della carcassa. In: *Prod. Anim.*, 9 (III Serie): 131 – 134.
- Matassino D., De Franciscis G., Bordi A. and Michelizzi F., 1968.** Statistiche vitali in scrofe di razza Casertana. In: *Prod. Anim.*, 7: 173-245.
- Matassino D., Barone C.M.A., Colatruglio P., Zullo A. and Mascia M., 1993.** Milk protein polymorphisms and quanti-qualitative characteristics of Italian friesian and brown cows. I Chemical composition. In: *Prod. Anim.*, 6 (III Serie): 75-109.
- Matassino D., Barone C.M.A. Colatruglio P. Occidente M. and Zullo A., 2006.** Colour of dry cured hams obtained from some pig autochthonous genetic types. In: *Livestock farming systems – Product Quality based on local resources leading to improved sustainability*, Rubino R., Sepe L., Dimitriadou A. and Gibon A. (Eds.) EAAP publications, 118, Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, p. 161-164.
- Matassino D., Castellano N., Incoronato C., Occidente M., Ricciardi L. and Barone C.M.A., 2007.** Genotyping of H-FABP loci in two pig ancient autochthonous genetic types: Calabrese and Casertana. Proceedings of the ASPA 17th Congress, Alghero, 29 maggio-1 giugno 2007. In: *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 6 (1): 164.
- Matassino D., Braglia S., Comella M., Incoronato C., Occidente M., Pane F., Pasquariello R. and Davoli R., 2009.** Study of SNP in genes related to fat and energy metabolisms and association with some carcass traits in Casertana pig ancient autochthonous genetic type. Proceedings of the ASPA 18th Congress, Palermo 9÷12 giugno 2009, In: *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 2: 216.
- Munoz G., Ovilo C., Noguera J.L., Sanchez A., Rodriguez C. and Silio L., 2003.** Assignment of the fatty acid synthase (FASN) gene to pig chromosome 12 by physical and linkage mapping. In: *Anim. Genet.*, 34: 234-235.
- Ren, J., Knorr, C., Guo, Y.M., Ding, N.S., Ai, H.S., Brenig, B. and Huang, L.S., 2004.** Characterization of five single nucleotide polymorphisms in the porcine stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD) gene. In: *Anim. Genet.*, 35: 255-257.
- Wimmers K., Murani E., Te Pas M.F., Chang K.C., Davoli R., Merks J.W., Henne H., Muraniová M., da Costa N., Harlizius B., Schellander K., Böll I., Braglia S., de Wit A.A., Cagnazzo M., Fontanesi L., Prins D. and Ponsuksili S., 2007.** Associations of functional candidate genes derived from gene-expression profiles of prenatal porcine muscle tissue with meat quality and muscle deposition. In: *Anim. Genet.*, 38: 474 – 484.
- Zullo A., Barone C.M.A., Colatruglio P., Macri' T. and Matassino D., 1994.** Protein polymorphisms and quanti-qualitative characteristics of milk from Italian Friesian and Brown cows. II. Milk aptitude to cheese making. In: *Prod. Anim.*, 7 (III Serie): 49-84.