

Amino acid requirements of growing rabbits in hot climates. 1. Prediction of quantitative needs

Abou-Ela S.S., El Hindawy M., Sulkamy M.T., Khalil F.S.

in

Testik A. (ed.), Baselga M. (ed.). 2. International Conference on Rabbit Production in Hot Climates

Zaragoza : CIHEAM Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes; n. 41

1999 pages 67-71

Article available on line / Article disponible en ligne à l'adresse :

http://om.ciheam.org/article.php?IDPDF=99600102

To cite this article / Pour citer cet article

Abou-Ela S.S., El Hindawy M., Sulkamy M.T., Khalil F.S. **Amino acid requirements of growing rabbits in hot climates. 1. Prediction of quantitative needs.** In : Testik A. (ed.), Baselga M. (ed.). *2. International Conference on Rabbit Production in Hot Climates.* Zaragoza : CIHEAM, 1999. p. 67-71 (Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes; n. 41)



http://www.ciheam.org/ http://om.ciheam.org/



AMINO ACID REQUIREMENTS OF GROWING RABBITS IN HOT CLIMATES 1. PREDICTION OF QUANTITATIVE NEEDS

Aboul-Ela, S.S.,⁽¹⁾ M.M. El-Hindawy, ⁽¹⁾ M.T. Sulkamy,⁽²⁾ and F.S. Khalil⁽²⁾ (1) Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, A.R.E. (2) National Research Center, Cairo Egypt

SUMMARY - Ninty NZW rabbits of both sexes, weaned at 5 weeks, of age were fed on nine completely pelleted isocaloric diets (2700 Kcal/K₁) using 0.420, 0.0525 and 0.630% dietary Sulphur amino acids (SAA) at 14% crude protein (CP), 0.480, 0.600 and 0.720% SAA at 16% CP and 0.540, 0.675 and 0.810 SAA at 18% CP respectively during the growing period (5-11 weeks of age). This work was designed to investigate the optimal levels of SAA for growing NZW rabbits in hot climates under different CP% levels. Growth and feed intake were measured over 6 weeks. Carcass characteristics and economic evaluation were also carried out at the termination of the study. Twelve regression equations were drawn for the prediction of the maximal response to 3 dietary protein levels and 9 levels of SAA in the tested diets in case of body weight (BW) and sixteen equations were also obtained in case of feed conversion (FC). Results indicated that BW at 7, 9 and 11 weeks were affected significantly (P<0.01 & P<0.05) by levels of dietary CP and SAA. Body weight values of NZW rabbits at these periods were higher in high protein groups (18% CP) than those at lower ones (16 & 14% CP). The maximal requirements of dietary SAA for growing NZW rabbits was 0.600% at 16% CP. It is worthly noting that increasing dietary SAA content above 0.60% did not cause any further significant increase in body weight. Average FC during the experimental periods were more efficient in high protein groups (16% & 18%) than those receiving the lowest one (14% CP), showing similar trend to those of the body weight. Therefore, from nutritional and economical point of view, the present data suggest that there would be an advantage for using 16% CP diet with 0.600% SAA and 0.330% M. during the growing period of NZW rabbits (5-11 weeks, of age) under hot climates. The next preferable one was the 18% CP diet with 0.675% SAA and 0.375% M. The nutrition of rabbits is the most important factor affecting the productivity of rabbits. However, protein and total sulphur amino acid requirements of growing rabbits have been studied by several investigators. Diets containing 16-20% CP may provide adequate nutrients for optimum performance of growing purchased NZW (Deshumukh and Pathak 1991), while the NRC, 1977 recommended 16% CP and 0.600% total sulphur amino acids. Taboada et al. (1995) found that a minimum level of 0.54% of total sulphurcontaining amino acid (0.40% of digestible sulphur-containing aa) was required to obtain adequate productivity in remating rabbits and growing rabbits, while a higher level (0.62% of TSAA) fermitted a 6 to 8% increase in the milk production, reduced the interval between parturitions, and improved feed utilization efficiency. The objective of this experiment was to determine the effect of different levels of dietary protein and total sulphur amino acids on the growth performance and feed efficiency. The experimental design allowed to draw regression equations for the prediction of the maximal response to dietary protein levels and 9 levels of SAA in case of body weight (BW) and feed conversion (FC).

Key words : Crude protien, Methionine, Sulphur, amino acids .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ninty NZW rabbits of both sexes, weaned at 5 week, of age were divided randomly into 3 treatment groups, each of 30 rabbits, nearly equal in live weight. Rabbits in each treatment group were distributed into three sub-treatments with 10 rabbits in each. Three isocaloric diets (2700 Kcal DE/kg) of three different levels of dietary protein (14, 16 and 18%) were formulated as basal diets with the NRC (1977) recommendation of Methionine and TSAA for growing rabbits. Three graded levels of M and TSAA within each level of dietary protein were formulated as follows:

The first three isocaloric diets of 14% CP had 0.180, 0.285 and .390% M and 0.420, 0.525 and 0.630% TSAA. The second three ones of 16% CP had 0.210, 0.330 & 0.450 M, and 0.480, 0.600 and

0.720% TSAA, and the third three isocaloric diets had 0.240, .370 & 0.510% M and 0.540, 0.675 and 0.810% TSAA, respectively. All the nine dietary pelleted diets were isocaloric.

Management

Animals were housed in individual cages with feeder and automatic watering system and were kept under the same managerial conditions. Feed and water were provided ad-lib., rabbits were individually weighed at 5 (weaning age), 9 and 11 week old and feed conversion (g feed/g gain) were estimated during the growing period (5-11 weeks of age).

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by ANOVA using the General Linear Models Procedure of SAS soft ware (SAS Institute, 1986). when significant (P < 0.05) differences were obtained, Duncan's new multiple range test (1955) was used to separate means.

Twelve regression equations were drawn for the prediction of maximal response to 3 dietary protein levels and 9 levels of SAA in the tested diets in case of body weight (BW) and sixteen equations were also obtained in case of feed conversion (FC).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I. Comparison of observed and calculated values of BW

The observed and calculated values of BW as affected by CP and SAA levels, during the experimental periods are presented in Table (2). The statistical analysis are shown in Table (3).

The initial live body weight of NZW rabbit weaned at five weeks of age was nearly similar ranging from 677 ± 25 to 680 ± 29 g. The observed body weight at 7, 9 and 11 weeks were affected significantly (P < 0.01), (P<0.05) by levels of dietary CP and SAA. Body weight values of NZW rabbits at 7, 9, and 11 weeks were higher in high protein groups (18.12%) than those at lower ones (16 or 14% CP) as shown in Table (2). Maximum growth during the different experimental periods was obtained with the NRC SAA.

It is worthy noting that decreasing or increasing SAA levels than that of NRC recommendation are not preferable. As far as growth rate is concerned, low and medium protein diets are definitely deficient in SAA, but high protein diet (18.12%) with 0.675% SAA (0.375 M + 0.300 Cys) seem to be adequate during the first period (5-7 week), while medium protein diet (16.02%) with 0.600% SAA (0.330 Meth. + 0.270 Cys.) seem to be adequate for the medium (7-9 weeks) and late (9-11 weeks) periods.

Rabbits fed on rations containing low or medium protein levels (14.11 & 16.02%) during the first experimental period (5-7 weeks) and containing 0.525 or 0.600% SAA recorded 1 and 6.8% lower body weights than those fed a ration containing 18.12% CP with 0.675% SAA. During the medium period (7-9 weeks) rabbit fed on ration containing 16.02% CP with 0.600% SAA recorded 5.5% higher than those fed ration with 14.11% CP and 0.630% SAA. The same effect of this ration prolonged to the last experimental period (9-11 weeks) recording 6.3% higher than those fed on low content of both CP and SAA.

When the previous requirements for the low protein diets, medium and high protein diets are expressed as percentage methionine per CP or kilocalory (therm) of DE per Kg diet, the respective values for growth become 2.070 or 0.137 percent during the first (5-7 weeks) followed by 2.060 or 0.121 percent during the medium and last periods (Table 3). The corresponding percentages per SAA were 3.73 or 0.246 and 3.750 or 0.221 per CP and kilocalory (therm) of DE per Kg diet.

Ayyat (1991) recommends 0.240 percent SAA per therm (DE) Kg diet having 16.4% CP and 0.349 percent SAA per therm (DE) per Kg diet having 14.35% CP.

Omol (1982) suggested that the range between 18 and 22% CP could be optimal for growing

rabbit, under tropical conditions, while Spreadbury (1978) reported that about 16% CP was the optimal level in a temperate Climate.

Adamson and fisher, (1973) observed that the best estimate of the quantitative requirement of SAA (Meth. + Cys) as percentage of the diet was 0.6% and added that the calculated values for BW were comparable to each other.

Regression equations:

Twelve regression equations were drawn for the predication of the maximal response to 3 dietary protein levels and 9 levels of SAA in the tested diets as follows:

A. When X₂ was expressed as Meth.% of diet.

A. When A ₂ was expressed as meaning of alon	
$Y_1 = 873.689 + 13.692 X_1 - 20.232 X_2$	(7 Wks).
$Y_2 = 1069.169 + 24.684 X_1 + 100.741 X_2$	(9 Wks).
$Y_3 = 1459.006 + 22.763 X_1 + 193.288 X_2$	(11 Wks).
B. When X ₂ was expressed as M% of dietary CP levels.	
$Y_1 = 875.850 + 13.248 X_1 - 0.826 X_2$	(7 Wks).
$Y_2 = 1029.073 + 26.710 X_1 + 19.856 X_2$	(9 Wks).
$Y_3 = 1394.969 + 26.739X_1 + 30.171X_2$	(11 Wks).
C. When X ₂ was expressed as SAA% of diet.	
$Y_1 = 847.287 + 13.972 X_1 - 19.628 X_2$	(7 Wks).
$Y_2 = 1066.203 + 23.132 X_1 + 101.808 X_2$	(9 Wks).
$Y_3 = 1453.299 + 19.861 X_1 + 193.602 X_2$	(11 Wks).
D. When X ₂ was expressed as SAA % of dietary CP levels.	
$Y_1 = 876.613 + 13.239X_1 - 0.622 X_2$	(7 Wks).
$Y_2 = 991.857 + 26.908X_1 + 20.030X_2$	(9 Wks).
$Y_3 = 1336.655 + 27.050X_1 + 31.414X_2$	(11 Wks).
	· ·

Where :

 Y_1 , Y_2 , Y_3 are average BW at 7, 9 and 11 weeks in each case, respectively. X_1 is crude protein

II. Comparison of observed and calculated values of FC

The observed and calculated values of (FC) as affected by CP and SAA levels during the experimental period are presented in Table (2) and statistical analysis are shown in Table 3.

The average FC during the epxerimental period; (5-7), (7-9), (9-11) and (5-11) weeks were affected significantly (P<0.01) by levels of dietary CP and SAA as shown in Table (2). FC values of NZW rabbits during the experimental period (5-7), (7-9), (9-11) and (5-11) weeks were more efficient in high protein groups (16 & 18%) than those receiving the lowest one (14%). Showing similar trend to those of the body weight.

Decreasing the SAA-20% than those of NRC level decreased the FC of NZW rabbits during the experimental periods.

Maximum FC during the different experimental periods were obtained with the NRC SAA levels as shown in Table 2.

During the first (5-7 weeks) and second (7-9 weeks) experimental periods rabbits utilize the high protein diets (18.12%) more efficiency than the other ones showing that it is definitely sufficient in both protein and SAA as shown in Table (2).

Rabbits which were fed on 14% during the first experimental period (5-7 weeks) or 16% CP diets recorded 8.6% and 1.3%, respectively lower than that of more efficiently diet (18.12% CP), and the same rations recorded 12.7% and 2.1%, respectively lower than that of hgih protein (18.12%).

During the last experimental period (9-11 weeks), the more efficiently diet (18.12%) recorded 8.9 and 0.9%, respectively, lower than that of 14% or 16% CP.

Therefore the requirement for optimum feed conversion was found to be 0.375% Methionine and 0.675% SAA with the high CP diet (18.12%) but from statistical and nutritional point of view 16.02% CP diet with not more than the NRC SAA level (0.330% Meth. & 0.600% SAA) was adequate. Supplementation of ration to achieve the recommended requirements for sulphur amino acids has been reported to increase growth rate and improve feed conversion efficiency (Colin and Arkhrust, 1975, Prudhon et al., 1977, Colin 1978 and Spreadbury (1978). Zajkowska et al., (1980) found that diets for rabbits supplemented with Methionine or lysine or both improved feed conversion, while Tag El-Din (1984) stated that feed covnersion efficiency was not affected by protein level or Methionine and/or lysine supplementation.

Observed and calculated values for FC were comparable to each other. The calculated values were also closely comparable to earlier.

Regression equations

Sixteen regression equations were drawn for the predication of the maximal response to 3 dietary protein levels and 9 levels of SAA in the tested diets using the previous model as follows:

A. When X_2 was expressed as Meth. % of diet.

A. When Λ_2 was expressed as meth. β of thet.	
$Y_1 = 3.399 - 0.053 X_1 - 0.392 X_2$	(5-7 Wks).
$Y_2 = 4.674 - 0.093 X_1 - 0.364 X_2$	(7-9 Wks).
$Y_3 = 5.529 - 0.099 X_1 - 0.871 X_2$	(9-11 Wks).
$Y_4 = 4.663 - 0.091X_1 - 0.485X_2$	(5-11Wks).
B. When X ₂ was expressed as Meth. % of dieta	ry CP levels.
$Y_1 = 3.535 - 0.062X_1 - 0.066 X_2$	(5-7 Wks).
$Y_2 = 4.808 - 0.100 X_1 - 0.066 X_2$	(7-9 Wks).
$Y_3 = 5.825 - 0.116 X_1 - 0.144 X_2$	(9-11 Wks).
$Y_4 = 4.838 - 0.101 X_1 - 0.086 X_2$	(5-11Wks).
C. When X ₂ was expressed as SAA% of diet.	
$Y_1 = 3.411 - 0.047 X_1 - 0.396 X_2$	(5-7 Wks).
$Y_2 = 4.684 - 0.087 X_1 - 0.367 X_2$	(7-9 Wks).
$Y_3 = 5.555 - 0.085 X_1 - 0.873 X_2$	(9-11 Wks).
$Y_4 = 4.677 - 0.084 X_1 - 0.489 X_2$	(5-11Wks).
D. When X ₂ was expressed as SAA% of dietary	CP levels
$Y_1 = 3.658 - 0.062 X_1 - 0.067 X_2$	(5-7 Wks).
$Y_2 = 4.931 - 0.101X_1 - 0.067 X_2$	(7-9 Wks).
$Y_3 = 6.094 - 0.117X_1 - 0.145X_2$	(9-11 Wks).
$Y_4 = 5.000 - 0.102X_1 - 0.087 X_2$	(5-11Wks).

where :

 Y_1 , Y_2 , Y_3 and Y_4 are the feed conversion (FC) at (5-7), (7-9) and (9-11) weeks in each case, respectively. X_1 is crude protein.

REFERENCES

- Adamson, I. and Fisher, H.(1973). The amino acid requirement of the growing rabbit: An estimate of quantitative needs. J. Nutr., 103:1306-1310.
- Ayyat M.S., (1991). Growth, feed efficiency and carcass traits, of rabbits as affected by levels of dietary protein and sulphur amino acids. Egyptian J. Rabbit Science 1(1): 11-12.
- Colin, M. and Arkhurst, G. (1975). Effect of DL-Meth. addition to a soybean oil meet diet on growth and nitrogen retention in the rarbbit. Ann. Zootehnie, 24:145 (Abstract).
- Colin, M. (1978). Effect of adding methionine to drinking water on growth of rabbits. Nutr., Rep. Int., Mar. 17 (3): 397-402.
- Deshumukh, S.V. and Pathak, N.M. (1991). Effect of different dietary protein and energy on growth performance and nutrient utilization in NZW. J. App. Rabb. Res., 14 : 18-24.

Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-test. Biometrics, 11:42.

- NRC (1977). Nutrient requirements of domestic animals, No.9, Nutrient Requirements of Rabbits, 2nd Ed. Acad. of Sci. Washington, DC.
- Omole, T.A. (1982). The effect of level of dietary protein on growth and reproductive performance in rabbits. J. App. Rabbit Res., 5 (3) : 83-88.
- Pradhon, M., Colin, M. and Lebas, F. (1977). Effect of Methionine Supplementation on feeding behavior in growing rabbits. Annal. de Zoot., 26: 421-428.
- Spreadbury, D. (1978). A study of the protein and amino acid requirement, of growing NZW with emphasis on lysine and SAA. Br. J. Nutr., 39: 601-611.
- Taboada, E., Mendez, J., and DE Blas, J.C. (1995). The response of highly productive rabbits to dietary SAA content (on Press), cited by Carabano (1995).
- Tag, El-Den, H.(1984). Daily weight gain feed conversion efficiency and digestibility coefficients as affected by protein levels and amino acids supplementation the 3rd Would Rabbit Congress, Rome, Vol. (1) 294-303.
- Zajkowska, J. Adryka, J., Kawinska, S., Niedzwiadek, S and Ryba, Z. (1980). Decreasing the level of protein in rabbit fattening by the use of synthetic amino acids. Rocznik Naukowe Zoot., 7(2): 289-298.

Items				Bas	al Diet	No.			
		A			В			С	
Alfalfa Meal		30.00			30.00			30.00	
Wheat Bran	1	37.00			37.00			37.00	
Barley		24.50			19.00			13.50	
Soybean Meal (44%)		5.50			11.00			16.50	
Molasses		1.50		1	1.50			1.50	
Lime Stone		0.95			0.95			0.95	
Salt		0.30			0.30			0.30	
Vit & Min premix *		0.25			0.25			0.25	
Total	100			100			100		
Chemical Analysis (as fed)									
CP %		14.11			16.02			18.12	
EE%		2.75			2.69			2.63	•
CF%	T	12.82			12.87			12.92	
NFE%		54.12			52.12			49.76	
Ash %		8.52			8.43			8.38	
Meth.%**	0.18			0.21			0.24		
Cys. % **	0.18			0.27			0.30		
TSAA % **		0.42			0.48			0.54	
DE Kcal/Kg**		2694			2718			2742	
Tested Diet No.	NRC-	NRC	NRC	NRC-	NRC	NRC	NRC-	NRC	NRC
	20%		+20%	20%		+20%	20%		+20%
Met. (% of Diet)	0.180	0.285	0.39	0.210	0.330		0.240		0.51
Met. (% of CP)	1.28	2.02	2.79	1.31	2.06	2.81	1.32	2.07	2.81
SAA (% of Diet)	0.420	0.525	0.63	0.480	0.600		0.540		0.81
SAA (% of CP)	2.98	3.72	4.46	3.00	3.75	4.49	2.98	3.73	4.47
DE (% of Diet)	269.4	269.4	369.4	271.8			274.2		274.2
DE (% of CP)	19.04	19.09	19.09	16.97	16.97	16.97	15.13	15.13	15.13

Table (1) : Composition and chemical analysis of basal diets and the nine tested isocaloric diets.

* Vitamins and minerals premix per kg contains.

Vit. A 2000000 IU; VIT.D 150000 IU; Vit. E 8.33 g; Vit. K0.33g; B1 0.33g; Vit. B2 lg; Vit. 86 0.33g; Vit. B9 8.33g; Vit. B12 1.7 mg; Pantothenic acid 3.33g; Biotin 33 mg; Folic acid 0.83g; Choline Chloride 200g; Zn 11.7g; Fe 12.5g; Cu 0.5g; I 33. 3mg; Se 16.6 mg; and Mn Sq.

** Calculated according to NRC for rabbits (1977).

Tahl	e (2): C	omparison of o Nutrient levels	n of ol	Serve	0	and Calcu	Table (2): Comparison of observed (0) and Calculated (C) val Nutrient tevels	Body 1	ues of Body wei Body weight (BW)	ght an	d feed conv	ersion	lues of Body weight and feed conversion (FC) for growing NZW rabbits fed different levels of Cpand SAA. Body weight (BW) Feed Conversion (FC)	ving N	ZW rabbits Feed (s fed o	bbits fed different lev Feed Conversion (FC)	els of	Cpand SA	¥.
Ţŗ.		% Meth		% SSA	V	BW5	BW7		BW9		BWII		(5-7) weeks		(1-9)weeks	8	(9-11) weeks	sks	(5-11) weeks	cks
No.	ີວ				L	Initial (g)	0	U	0	v	0	J	0	U	0	J	0	U	0	c
		of diet of	of CP of	of diet o	of CP	Mcan±SE	Mean+SE	Mean	MeantSE	Mean	Mean±SE	Mcan	Mean+SE	Mean	MeantSE	Mean	Mcan±SE	Mean	Mcan+SE	Mean
Low F	Low protein groups :	: sdn						1		1]]		1		Τ
Ħ	14.11	0.180 1.276 0.420	276 0.		2.98	680 <u>1</u> 49	1024 b±59	1063	1394 <u>+</u> 67	1435	1819 <u>+</u> 72	1815	2.69a <u>+</u> 0.017	2.58	3.40a <u>+</u> 0.13	3.30	4.13a <u>+</u> 0.18	3.99	3.46±0.11	3.29
5	14.11	0.285 2.0	2.020 0.525		3.72	681±53	1092ab <u>+</u> 59	1061	1418+80	1445	1855±79	1835	2.45bcd <u>+</u> 0.03	2.54	3.24b <u>+</u> 0.09	3.26	3.69b <u>+</u> 0.20	3.90	3.13±0.10	3.24
ŝ	14.11	0.390 2.764 0.630	764 0.4		4.46	678±54	1048b±71	1059	1483 <u>+</u> 95	1458	1821 <u>+</u> 96	1856	2.58ab±0.05	2.50	3.25b <u>+</u> 0.10	3.23	3.95a <u>+</u> 0.15	3.81	3.24±0.09	3.18
		Mean				680 <u>±</u> 29	1055 <u>+</u> 36B		1432 <u>+</u> 46B		1832 <u>+</u> 47B		2.57 <u>+</u> 0.04A		3.30 <u>+</u> 0.06A		3.92 <u>+</u> 0.10A		3.28 <u>+</u> 0.06B	
Mediu	Medium protein groups:	soups:																		Ţ
4	16.02	0.210 1.311 0.480	311 0.		2.99	680 <u>+</u> 45	1054b <u>+</u> 75	1089	1490±103	1486	1798±98	1864	2.44bc <u>+</u> 0.07	2.47	3.18 ± 0.10	3.11	3.92a <u>+</u> 0.28	3.77	3.13±0.11	3.10
\$	16.02	0.330 2.0	2.060 0.600		3.75	681±48	1158a <u>+</u> 67	1086	1564±80	1498	1971 <u>+</u> 88	1888	2.27de <u>+</u> 0.07	2.42	2.89cd±0.10	3.07	3.39od±0.20	3.67	2.82 ± 0.10	3.04
e.	16.02	0.450 2.809	809 0.	0.720 4	4.49	669144	1084ab <u>+</u> 64	1084	1522±77	1510	1914 ± 84	1911	2.30cde40.06	2.37	2.96c <u>+</u> 0.11	3.03	3.57bc±0.20 3.56	3.56	2.94±0.10	2.98
		Mean				667±25	1099 <u>+</u> 89AB	1086	1525 <u>+</u> 48A		1894 <u>+</u> 55AB		2.38 <u>+</u> 0.04B		3.01 <u>+</u> 0.06B		3.60 <u>+</u> 0.13B		2.96 <u>+</u> 0.06A	
High p	High protein groups :	: sdn							1											
2	18.12	0.240 1.325 0.540	325 0.5		2.98	679+44	1109ab <u>+</u> 40	1117	1545 <u>+</u> 42	1540	1924±51	1918	2.38ade <u>+</u> 0.04	2.35	2.35 2.880 <u>4-</u> 0.09 2.91	2.91	3.55bc±0.12 3.54	3.54	2.91+0.10	2.89
80	18.12	0.375 2.0	2.070 0.6	0.675 3	3.73	682+48	1166 <u>+</u> 35	1114	1567+47	1554	1954±56	1944	2.24e <u>+</u> 0.06	2.29	2.83d±0.08	2.86	3.36d <u>+</u> 0.18	3.43	2.74 ± 0.10	2.82
6	18.12	0.510 2.815		0.810 4	4.47	680 <u>+</u> 48	1050b±71	1112	1511 <u>+</u> 96	1568	1944 <u>+</u> 96	1970	2.34odeb±0.05	2.24	2.96ad±0.10	2.81	3.44cd±0.20	3.31	2.94±0.12	2.76
		Mean				680±26	1108 <u>+</u> 80A		1541 <u>+</u> 86A		1941 <u>+</u> 47AB		2.32 <u>+</u> 0.03B		2.89 <u>+</u> 0.05C		3.43 <u>+</u> 0.11c		2.86 <u>+</u> 0.06C	

Overall means in the same column, bearing different capital letters, differed significantly. Means in the same column, with different small letters, bearing different significantly.

Table (3) : Methionine and Total SAA Requirements of Growing NZW Rabbits Expressed in Different Ways and ANOVA of Effect of dietary CP and SAA levels on Growth

	Trad	Methic Methic	N N	Methionine Rquirements	Rquirement	s		otal SAA r	Total SAA requirements	S			Me	Mean Squares	
Exp. Period (week)	Maximum BW (9)	Maximum Maximum % of Diet % of CP BW improv. (9) FC	% of Diet	% of CP	% /K cal DE/kg diet	mg M/g Gain	% of Diet	% of CP	% /K cal DE/Kg diet	%/K cal mg SAA/g DE/Kg Gai diet	S.O.V.	DF	BW	FI	FC
													5 weeks		
	1092ab	2.45bcd	0.285	2.020	0.106	7.00	0.525	3.75	0.195	12.89	G	7	108.58NS	33.56NS	0.754**
5-7	1158a	2.27cd	0.330	2.060	0.121	7.49	0.600	3.75	0.221	13.47	SAA(CP)	9	178.19NS	384.66**	0.106**
	1166a	2.24	0.375	2.070	0.137	8.40	0.675	3.73	0.246	15.12	R	6	190307.95	269.25	0.093
											Error	72	1709.29	113.59	0.026
													7 weeks		
	1483	3.24b	0.390	2.764	0.145	12.68	0.630	4.46	0.234	20.48	Ð	7	24658.98*	54.24**	1.204**
6-1	1564	2.89cd	0.330	2.060	0.121	9.52	0.600	3.75	0.221	17.32	SAA(CP)	6	24871.84**	916.40**	0.124**
	1567	2.83d	0.375	2.070	0.137	10.61	0.675	3.73	0.246	19.09	R	6	282552.97	532.10	0.703
											Error	68	4755.07	132.10	0.021
	1855	3.69b	0.285	2.020	0.106	10.51	0.525	3.72	0.195	19.37	đ	2	9 weeks 101492.58NS 2016.77**	2016.77**	1.605**
9-11	1971	3.39cd	0.330	2.060	0.121	11.19	0.600	3.75	0.221	20.35	SAA(CP)	6	13974.37NS	1051.65**	0.442**
	1954	3.36d	0.375	2.070	0.137	12.38	0.675	3.73	0.246	22.28	R	6	382552.97	1648.00	0.287
								-			Error	66	16251.24	124.06	0.047
													11 weeks	1.	
	1855	3.13c	0.285	2.020	0.106	8.92	0.525	3.72	0.195	16.43	đ	2	83350.93*	122.77NS	1.191**
5-11	1971	2.82ef	0.330	2.060	0.121	9.31	0.600	3.75	0.221	16.93	SAA(CP)	.9	26130.48NS	58.52NS	0.200**
	1954	2.74f	0.375	2.070	0.137	10.53	0.675	3.73	0.246	18.53	R	6	463426.84	446.07	0.756
											Error	66	21505.33	65.08	0.014
Means in	Means in the same column with different small letters, bearing different significantly	lumn with c	different sm	all letters, b	earing diffe	stent signifi	icantly.								

74

CIHEAM - Options Mediterraneennes

NS=Non significant.
* Significiant at (P < 0.05).
** Significant at (P < 0.01).</pre>