

**Effect of lasalocid addition in diet on growth performance, digestibility, carcass traits, and blood constituents in growing rabbits**

Zanaty G.A.A., Abou-Zeid A.E., Amber K.H.

*in*

Testik A. (ed.), Baselga M. (ed.).  
2. International Conference on Rabbit Production in Hot Climates

Zaragoza : CIHEAM  
Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes; n. 41

1999  
pages 75-80

Article available on line / Article disponible en ligne à l'adresse :

<http://om.ciheam.org/article.php?IDPDF=99600103>

To cite this article / Pour citer cet article

Zanaty G.A.A., Abou-Zeid A.E., Amber K.H. **Effect of lasalocid addition in diet on growth performance, digestibility, carcass traits, and blood constituents in growing rabbits.** In : Testik A. (ed.), Baselga M. (ed.). 2. *International Conference on Rabbit Production in Hot Climates*. Zaragoza : CIHEAM, 1999. p. 75-80 (Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes; n. 41)



<http://www.ciheam.org/>  
<http://om.ciheam.org/>

## EFFECT OF LASALOCID ADDITION IN DIET ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE, DIGESTIBILITY, CARCASS TRAITS, AND BLOOD CONSTITUENTS IN GROWING RABBITS

G.A.A. Zanaty<sup>1</sup>, A.E. Abou-Zeid<sup>2</sup> and K.H. Amber<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department Of Poultry Production Faculty Of Agriculture, Menofiya Univ., Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Department Of Poultry Production, Faculty Of Agriculture, Tanta Univ., 33516 Kafr-EL-Sheikh, Egypt.

**SUMMARY** - Sixty four New Zealand white rabbits about 5 weeks old were allotted to four groups. Treatments consisted of two levels of crude protein High (18.1%) and Low (14.2%). Each level was tested with and without Lasalocid at 40 mg/kg diet. During 6 weeks, live weight and feed intake were recorded weekly. At the last week the faeces and urine were collected to determine the apparent digestibility and nitrogen balance. Six animals from each group were sacrificed to study carcass characteristics. Final body weight, growth rate and Live weight gain were improved by ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) as a result to increase protein level and lasalocid addition. DM intake (g/d) was decreased ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) by 7.8g when protein level increased and 2.2 g by lasalocid supplemented. Also feed conversion rate (g/g) was improved ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) by 1.13 and 0.31 % due to increase protein level and lasalocid addition respectively. The DM, EE and CF digestibility were improved significantly by 3.9, 3.5 and 5.9 % respectively when protein level increased in diets the same trend observed with lasalocid supplementation 1.8, 2.4 and 1.0 % with lasalocid supplementation. The CP digestibility was improved by ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) by 3.7% and 3.4% due to increase protein level and lasalocid addition. Dressing % was increased ( $p \leq 0.01$ ) while bone % was decreased ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) when protein level increased. The CP and EE contents of carcass were influenced by ( $p \leq 0.05$  &  $p \leq 0.001$ ) with high protein level but ash content was decreased ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) while Lasalocid addition had no significant effect. Total protein and albumin in plasma increased ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) when protein level increased, while the GOT, GPT, Alkaline phosphatase, Urea and Creatinine were not statistically affected.

**Key words:** Rabbits, Lasalocid, Growth, Feed intake, Digestibility, Carcass and Blood.

### INTRODUCTION

Lasalocid is on the anti-coccidials of the chemical type. Known as ionophores. An ionophore is a substance whose molecules have the capability of combining with certain ions, and facilitating the passage of these ions through lipophilic biological membranes. Disruption of cellular ion balance results in death of coccidial parasites and changes in rumen bacteria population as results of selective antimicrobial activity (Dennis et al., 1981). Foreyt et al., (1981) reported that Lasalocid increased gain of lambs fed pelleted ration containing alfalfa (60%), barley (20%), and wheat (20%). A positive growth promoting effect has consistently been demonstrated both in young growing broilers and turkeys. As a result, the use of lasalocid in feeds for these birds produces optimum live-weight gains. Taylor et al., (1974) found that the recommended level, the drug proved very effective in reducing morbidity and preventing mortality due to coccidiosis. Lasalocid was found by Mitrovic et al., (1975) to provide better or equal performance than eight other coccidiostats. Lasalocid has the widest safety margin of all the ionophores, and is the most compatible of the ionophores with other feed additives and therapeutic substances.

The purpose of present work was to study the effect of lasalocid addition in diets of 14.2% and 18.1% crude protein content on growth performance, digestion traits and carcass traits in growing rabbits.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animals

Sixty four 5 weeks old unsexed NZW rabbits were obtained from experimental poultry research farm Shebin El-Kom, Menofiya University, EGYPT. The rabbits were allotted at random to the four

experimental diets. Each diet had 16 rabbits. The rabbits were housed in individual cages where standard management techniques and environmental conditions were applied. All rabbits had free access to feed and water throughout the entire experiment. During 6 weeks, live body weight and feed intake were recorded weekly. At the end of the experiment, six rabbits from each diet were sacrificed to study carcass characteristics. Plasma samples were kept at -20°C for analysis by commercial kits (Bio-Merieux, France)

### Diets

Four pelleted diets were formulated as detailed in table (1). Diets were consisted of two levels of crude protein as follow: high (18.1%) and low (14.2%). Each level was tested with and without lasalocid (X-537A - AVATEC® Roche Products Ltd., Dunstable, U.K.) at 40 mg/kg diet.

Table 1: Nutrient compositions of the diets

| Ingredients %                 | Diet  |       |       |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                               | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     |
| Clover hay                    | 32.00 | 32.00 | 32.00 | 32.00 |
| Wheat bran                    | 33.00 | 33.00 | 33.00 | 33.00 |
| Barley                        | 24.00 | 23.96 | 14.00 | 13.96 |
| Sobean meal (44%)             | 6.50  | 6.50  | 16.50 | 16.50 |
| Molasses                      | 3.0   | 3.0   | 3.0   | 3.0   |
| Limestone                     | 0.95  | 0.95  | 0.95  | 0.95  |
| Salt                          | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.30  |
| Premix *                      | 0.21  | 0.21  | 0.21  | 0.21  |
| Lasalocid (40mg/kg)           | ----  | 0.04  | ----  | 0.04  |
| Total                         | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   |
| Chemical analysis (% as fed): |       |       |       |       |
| Drymatter                     | 90.56 | 90.56 | 91.20 | 91.20 |
| DE kcal/ kg                   | 2612  | 2612  | 2654  | 2654  |
| Crude protein                 | 14.2  | 14.2  | 18.1  | 18.1  |
| Ether extract                 | 2.70  | 2.70  | 2.50  | 2.50  |
| Crude Fiber                   | 13.51 | 13.51 | 13.10 | 13.10 |
| Ash                           | 9.70  | 9.70  | 9.30  | 9.30  |
| NFE                           | 59.89 | 59.89 | 57.0  | 57.0  |

\* Each kg of premix contains: Vit. A2.000.000 iu; Vit.<sub>3</sub> D 15000 iu; Vit. E 8.33g; vitk 0.33g; B<sub>1</sub> 0.33g; Vit. B<sub>2</sub> 1.0g, Vit B60.33g, Vit B<sub>9</sub> 8.33g; Vit B<sub>12</sub> 107mg; pantothenic acid 3.33g; Biotine 33 mg; mg 66.7 gm; Folicacid 0.83g; choline chloride 200 gm; Zn 11.7g; Fe 12.59; cu 0.5; I 33.3 mg; Se 16.6 mg and Mn 59 mg.

### Digestibility Trial

At the last week, 6 rabbits per diet were kept in individual metabolic cages that allowed separation of faeces and urine. The faeces were collected during five consecutive days and stored at -20°C for analysis.

### Analytical Methods

Chemical analysis of diets and faeces were conducted according to methods of AOAC (1984) for dry matter, ash, CP, CF and ether extract. Gross energy was determined by adiabatic bomb calorimetry.

### Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance using the GLM procedure (SAS, 1989)

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth Performance

Data presented in table (2) provide within experiment comparisons by dietary protein levels with and without lasalocid supplement, the average final body weight, daily weight gain growth rate, dry matter

intake, dry matter/gain and feed cost/kg/gain. Effect of dietary protein levels on the parameters studied could be detected through comparing results of diet (1) 14.2% CP with those of diet (3) 18.1 % CP. It is evident that live weight gain , growth rate, DM intake and feed conversion rate were improved significantly ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) with increased the protein level. These findings are in agreement with those obtained by Campose *et al.*, (1977) , Omole (1982) and sonbol *et al.*, (1992). The addition of lasalocid caused a significant positive effect on all parameters studied but the effect was more clearly in the case of 14.2% CP than with 18.1% CP. Final body weight, growth rate and live weight gain were improved by 4.8%,8.3% and 4.4% respectively with diet(2) when compared with diet(1) unsupplemented. A similar pattern of response was noted with diet(4) for final body weight, live weight gain and growth rate were better by 4.4%, 7.6% and 4.3% respectively when compared with diet (3). The DM intake was decreased significantly ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) while feed conversion rate was improved significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) as result of improvement of nutrients digestibility by the addition of lasalocid and increased protein level (14.2 to 18.1%)in diet. These results agreed with that results of Funk *et al.* (1986), Worrell *et al.* , (1990) and Baraghit(1995). The feed cost/ kg gain was lowered with increased protein and the addition of lasalocid of diet.

Table 2. Performance traits of Growing Nzw rabbits as affected by dietary protein levels and lasalocid (LSM  $\pm$  SE)

| Parameters                   | Diet            |                |                |                |     |     |     |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
|                              | 1               | 2              | 3              | 4              | L   | T   | LxT |
| Initial weight ( g ) 5 weeks | 80.5 $\pm$ 4.9  | 810 $\pm$ 4.9  | 815 $\pm$ 4.9  | 810 $\pm$ 4.9  | NS  | NS  | NS  |
| Final weight ( g ) 11 weeks  | 1815 $\pm$ .03  | 1905 $\pm$ .03 | 2146 $\pm$ .03 | 2241 $\pm$ .03 | *** | *** | NS  |
| Live Weight gain ( g/ day)   | 24.1 $\pm$ 0.19 | 26.1 $\pm$ .19 | 31.7 $\pm$ .19 | 34.1 $\pm$ .19 | *** | *** | NS  |
| Growth rate ( % )            | 77.1 $\pm$ 0.5  | 80.7 $\pm$ 0.5 | 89.9 $\pm$ 0.5 | 93.8 $\pm$ 0.5 | *** | *** | NS  |
| DM intake( g/ day)           | 88.1 $\pm$ 2.0  | 85.4 $\pm$ 2.0 | 80.3 $\pm$ 2.0 | 78.7 $\pm$ 2.0 | **  | NS  | NS  |
| DM Intake/ gain (g/g)        | 3.66 $\pm$ 0.1  | 3.27 $\pm$ 0.1 | 2.53 $\pm$ 0.1 | 2.31 $\pm$ 0.1 | *** | *** | NS  |
| Feed cost/ kg. gain, (LE)    | 2.14 $\pm$ 0.12 | 1.96 $\pm$ 0.1 | 1.90 $\pm$ 0.1 | 1.76 $\pm$ 0.1 | NS  | NS  | NS  |

- Cost per kg feed were 0.584, 0.590, 0.750 and 0.762 for diets 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively.

- Price per one kilogram gain was 6.00 (LE).

- \*\*= ( $P \leq 0.01$ ), \*\*\*= ( $P \leq 0.001$ ), NS= Non Significant

-L = protein level, T = treatment,

### Digestion Trial

Digestibility and nutritive value of different nutrients is given in table(3) . Dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM) crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE) and crude fiber(CF) were increased significantly ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) as protein level increased (14.2% to 18.1% CP). Aboul-Ela *et al.* , (1993) reported that dietary protein level had significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect on DM, OM and CP digestibilities. the nutritive values of the diet expressed as digestible crude protein (DCP) was significantly higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) with high protein level than low protein level but the DE and TDN had no significant differences Sankhyan *et al.* , (1990). The addition of lasalocid to diet (2) and diet (4) was yielded highest values of DM, EE, CP, and TDN. Our results were consistent with previous studies (Baraghit.1995 and Funk *et al.* 1986) Also, it could be noted that value of CP digestibility of diet (2) (14.2% CP and lasalocid) was slightly high than in diet (3) (18.1% CP and without lasalocid) , our results were in agreement with the results of previous studies (Paterson *et al.*,1983, and Delfino *et al* 1988) they observed increased protein digestibility with lasalocid addition. Generly our results may be due to the beneficial effect of lasalocid on inhibition of pathogens bacteria potentiality that may allow more activity for the cellulolytic bacteria species present in ceacum.

### Carcass traits

The results obtained for carcass traits are presented in table (4) . the level (18.1% CP) resulted higher significant ( $p < 0.05$  &  $p \leq 0.001$ ) values of carcass % and dressing %,while the level (14.2% CP) showed that highest values of bone %. Similar results were obtained by Aboul- Ela *et al.*, (1993). On the other hand Sankhyan *et al.* , (1991) reported that dressing percentage weights of parts and organs weight of the carcass were not affected significantly by protein levels .Boneless meat % and head % have no significant differences. The addition of lasalocid tended to slighly increased in carcass %, dressing % boneless meat % and head % while bone % was decreased. Similar effect has been reported by Morris *et al.* (1990) and Barahit,(1995). When the dietary protein level increased from



14.2% to 18.1% led to significant increase ( $p < 0.05$  &  $p \leq 0.001$ ) in the CP% and EE% in the carcass, whereas ash % was led to lower significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) with higher protein diet. Our results are in agreement with data reported by Aboul-ElA *et al.*, (1995).

Table 3. Digestibilities of nutrient components % (LSM  $\pm$  SE)

| Nutrient            | Diet            |                 |                 |                 | L   | T   | LxT |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|
|                     | 1               | 2               | 3               | 4               |     |     |     |
| DM                  | 66.3 $\pm$ 0.97 | 68.4 $\pm$ 0.97 | 70.2 $\pm$ 0.97 | 71.7 $\pm$ 0.97 | **  | NS  | NS  |
| OM                  | 64.0 $\pm$ 0.60 | 64.8 $\pm$ 0.60 | 66.2 $\pm$ 0.60 | 67.0 $\pm$ 0.60 | **  | NS  | NS  |
| CP                  | 74.3 $\pm$ 0.61 | 78.2 $\pm$ 0.61 | 78.0 $\pm$ 0.61 | 80.9 $\pm$ 0.61 | *** | *** | NS  |
| EE                  | 64.6 $\pm$ 0.95 | 66.1 $\pm$ 0.95 | 68.1 $\pm$ 0.95 | 71.4 $\pm$ 0.95 | *** | *   | NS  |
| CF                  | 45.0 $\pm$ 0.56 | 45.6 $\pm$ 0.56 | 50.9 $\pm$ 0.56 | 52.3 $\pm$ 0.56 | *** | *   | NS  |
| NEE                 | 71.4 $\pm$ 0.72 | 73.3 $\pm$ 0.72 | 76.2 $\pm$ 0.72 | 78.5 $\pm$ 0.72 | *** | **  | NS  |
| Nutritive value (%) |                 |                 |                 |                 |     |     |     |
| DCP                 | 10.6 $\pm$ 0.57 | 11.1 $\pm$ 0.57 | 14.1 $\pm$ 0.57 | 14.6 $\pm$ 0.57 | *** | *** | NS  |
| TDN                 | 63.3 $\pm$ 0.87 | 65.0 $\pm$ 0.87 | 68.0 $\pm$ 0.87 | 70.1 $\pm$ 0.87 | NS  | NS  | NS  |
| DE Kcal/Kg          | 2521 $\pm$ 26.7 | 2540 $\pm$ 26.7 | 2570 $\pm$ 26.7 | 2582 $\pm$ 26.7 | NS  | NS  | NS  |

- \* = ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), \*\* = ( $P \leq 0.01$ ), \*\*\* = ( $P \leq 0.001$ ), NS = Non Significant

- L = protein level, T = treatment,

Table 4. Carcass traits of NZW rabbits as affected by dietary protein levels and lasalocid. (LSM  $\pm$  SE)

| Traits                 | Diet             |                 |                 |                 | L   | T   | LxT |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|
|                        | 1                | 2               | 3               | 4               |     |     |     |
| preslaughtner weight,g | 1820 $\pm$ 19.9  | 1910 $\pm$ 19.8 | 2150 $\pm$ 19.8 | 2235 $\pm$ 19.8 | *** | *** | NS  |
| Carcass %              | 57.80 $\pm$ 1.88 | 59.5 $\pm$ 1.88 | 61.9 $\pm$ 1.88 | 65.0 $\pm$ 1.88 | *   | NS  | NS  |
| Dressing %             | 47.3 $\pm$ 0.7   | 48.7 $\pm$ 0.7  | 50.8 $\pm$ 0.7  | 53.7 $\pm$ 0.7  | *** | **  | NS  |
| Boneless meat %        | 83.2 $\pm$ 1.76  | 85.0 $\pm$ 1.76 | 86.0 $\pm$ 1.76 | 87.0 $\pm$ 1.76 | NS  | NS  | NS  |
| Bone %                 | 16.8 $\pm$ 0.86  | 15.0 $\pm$ 0.86 | 14.0 $\pm$ 0.86 | 13.0 $\pm$ 0.86 | *   | NS  | NS  |
| Head %                 | 6.4 $\pm$ 0.19   | 6.6 $\pm$ 0.19  | 6.76 $\pm$ 0.19 | 6.80 $\pm$ 0.19 | NS  | NS  | NS  |
| Carcass analysis (%)   |                  |                 |                 |                 |     |     |     |
| Moisture               | 70.9 $\pm$ 1.1   | 70.3 $\pm$ 1.1  | 69.5 $\pm$ 1.1  | 69.0 $\pm$ 1.1  | NS  | NS  | NS  |
| Crude protein          | 20.5 $\pm$ 0.3   | 20.7 $\pm$ 0.3  | 21.0 $\pm$ 0.3  | 21.4 $\pm$ 0.3  | *   | NS  | NS  |
| Ether Extract          | 5.20 $\pm$ 0.28  | 5.53 $\pm$ 0.28 | 6.70 $\pm$ 0.28 | 7.00 $\pm$ 0.28 | *** | NS  | NS  |
| Ash                    | 1.70 $\pm$ 0.10  | 1.62 $\pm$ 0.10 | 1.48 $\pm$ 0.10 | 1.30 $\pm$ 0.10 | *   | NS  | NS  |

- \* = ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), \*\* = ( $P \leq 0.01$ ), \*\*\* = ( $P \leq 0.001$ ), NS = Non Significant

- L = protein level, T = treatment,

### Blood Constituents

From table (5) it can be noted that when dietary protein levels increased ( $p < 0.05$ ) total protein and albumin in plasma increased but lasalocid addition had no significant differences. The increase in the hepatic function of rabbits treated with lasalocid may be a suggestive evidence that lasalocid may act through affecting the metabolic rat. the results of transaminases activity GOT, GPT and Alkaline phosphatase confirmed that there were no damage of hepatic cells due to addition of lasalocid while Mezey (1976) reported that GOT and GPT increased in the serum, when the hepatic cells were damaged or their membranes were disrupted. The kidney function seemed to be unaffected by using such lasalocid at both levels tested, This results confirmed that lasalocid has the widest safety margin of all the ionophores.

**In conclusion** the addition of lasalocid to diet of growing rabbit seems to have a positive effect on performance traits and to reduce feed cost. This effect may be due to the better utilization of low protein level with lasalocid addition

Table 5. Some blood constituents in growing NZW rabbits as affected by dietary protein levels and lasalocid. (LSM  $\pm$  SE)

| Parameter                | Diet            |                 |                 |                 |    |    |     |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----|----|-----|
|                          | 1               | 2               | 3               | 4               | L  | T  | LxT |
| Total protein, (g/100ml) | 5.6 $\pm$ 0.35  | 6.0 $\pm$ 0.35  | 6.3 $\pm$ 0.35  | 6.9 $\pm$ 0.35  | *  | NS | NS  |
| Albumine (g/100ml)       | 2.4 $\pm$ 0.24  | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.24  | 3.2 $\pm$ 0.24  | 3.6 $\pm$ 0.24  | *  | NS | NS  |
| Globulin (g/100ml)       | 3.2 $\pm$ 0.31  | 2.9 $\pm$ 0.31  | 3.1 $\pm$ 0.31  | 3.3 $\pm$ 0.31  | NS | NS | NS  |
| GOT, ( $\mu$ /L)         | 43.5 $\pm$ 1.46 | 44.3 $\pm$ 1.46 | 46.2 $\pm$ 1.46 | 47.0 $\pm$ 1.46 | NS | NS | NS  |
| GPT, ( $\mu$ /L)         | 20.8 $\pm$ 0.96 | 21.3 $\pm$ 0.96 | 22.1 $\pm$ 0.96 | 22.3 $\pm$ 0.96 | NS | NS | NS  |
| Alk. Phos. ( $\mu$ /L)   | 33.2 $\pm$ 1.2  | 35.25 $\pm$ 1.2 | 35.31 $\pm$ 1.2 | 35.36 $\pm$ 1.2 | NS | NS | NS  |
| Urea - N (mg/100ml)      | 16.0 $\pm$ 0.78 | 16.3 $\pm$ 0.78 | 16.7 $\pm$ 0.78 | 16.9 $\pm$ 0.78 | NS | NS | NS  |
| Creatinine(mg/100ml)     | 0.96 $\pm$ 0.13 | 1.0 $\pm$ 0.15  | 1.1 $\pm$ 0.15  | 1.15 $\pm$ 0.15 | NS | NS | NS  |

- \* = ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), NS = Non Significant

-L = protein level, T = treatment,

## REFERENCES

- Aboul-ELA, S.S., M.M. EL-Hindawy, M.T. Shulkamy and F.S. Khalil (1993). Effect of different dietary protein and sulphur amino acids levels on performance and nutrient utilization in growing rabbits. *Egyptian J. of Rabbit Sci.*, 3(1)39-54.
- AOAC (1984) Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of official Analytical Chemist, 14th ed. AOAC, Washington, Dc.
- Baraghit, A. Gamal. (1995) Effect of lasalocid as an antibiotic growth promoter on performance, digestion and rumen fermentation of Ossimi Lambs fed clover hay based diet. *Menofiya J. Agric. Res.* vol. 20 no. 5 1913-1930.
- Campose, J.; F. Dedios; F. Espinoza; E. Riquelme and A. Pro. (1977) Protein and energy levels for growing rabbits proceeding western Section, American Society of Animal Science vol. 28. 533-540.
- Delfino, J. and Mathison G.W. and Smith, M.W. (1988) Effect of lasalocid on feedlot performance and energy partitioning in cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 66:136.
- Dennis, S.M. Nagaraja, T.C. and Bartley, E.E. (1981) Effects of Lasalocid or monensin on Lactate producing or using rumen bacteria. *J. Anim. Sci.* 52:418
- Foreyt, W.J., Gates, N.L. and Rick, J.E. (1981) Evaluation of Lasalocid in salt against ovine coccidia. *Amer. J. Vet. Res.* 42:54
- Funk, M.A., Galyean, M.L. and Ross, T.T. (1986) Potassium and Lasalocid effects on performance and digestion in Lambs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 63 685.
- Mezey, E. (1976) Diagnosis of liver disease by laboratory methods in The laboratory of clinical medicine. Interpretation and application. ed. Holstead, J. Pub, W.B. Saunders Co., London, Toronto, pp. 417 - 429.
- Mitrovic, M., E.G. Schildknecht and W.L. Marusich, (1975) Comparative anticoccidial activity and compatibility of lasalocid in broiler chickens. *Poultry Sci.*, 54:757-761.
- Morris, F.E., Branine, M.E., Galyean, M.L., Hubert, M.E., Freeman, A.S. and Iofgreen, G.P. (1990) Effect of rotating monensin plus tylosin and lasalocid on performance, ruminal fermentation, and site and extent of digestion in feedlot cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 68:3069
- Omole, T.A. (1982) the effect of level of dietary protein on growth and reproductive performance in rabbits. *J. Appl. Rabbits Res.* 5:83-88
- Paterson, J.A., Anderson, B.M., Bowman, D.K., Morrison, R.L. and Williams, J.E. (1983) Effect of protein source and lasalocid on nitrogen digestibility and growth by ruminants. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 57:1537

- Sankhyan, S., Tiwari S.P., and Narang, M.P. (1990) Effects of dietary protein and energy level on the growth performance of rabbits. *J. Appl. Rabbit Res.*, 13:66-68.
- SAS Institute Inc. (1989) *SAS/STAT User's guide*, Version 6, fourth edition, vol.2, Cary, NC.
- Sonbol, S.M. Abd EL-Baky; K.M. EL-Gendy; A.M. Marai (1992) Effects of dietary protein Level and sources on nutrient utilization and growth performance in New Zealand white rabbits. *Egyptian J. of Rabbit Sci.*, 2(2) 145-160
- Taylor, W.M., H.G. Eisenbis, L.J. Hanson, W.I. Mausich and E.G. Schild knecht, (1974) The safety and efficacy of the anticoccidial drug lasalocid., in chickens under floor- pen conditions. *poultry SCI.* 53:1983.
- Worrell, M.A., Mndersander, D.J., Thompson, C.E. and Bridges, Jr. W.c. (1990) effect of time of season and cottonseed meal and lasalocid supplementation on steers grazing rye pastures. *J. Anim. Sci.* 68:1151.